TechOps

Dent Mapping Efficiencies at Delta

Howard Chung Engineer

Arun Chhabra CEO



David Piotrowski Senior Principal Engineer

Scott Rainwater Principal Engineer





TechOps & dent CHECK

What the hail happened?

Scott Rainwater, David Piotrowski (Delta) Howard Chung, Arun Chhabra (8tree)





OUTLINE

- Dent mapping problem
 - o SRM flowchart
 - o Dent dimensions
 - o Location
- OEM coordination
- A319 Hail event use case
- Efficiencies gained/ Benefits









Dent Mapping Problem

TechOps



Subjective Inconsistent Coarse Inefficient





Dents cost the airline industry \$ 2.8b / year *

* Labor expense and Lost revenue due to Line Maintenance, Heavy Checks & Hail events.

Source: Air Transport Action Group 2014 study; A4A 2016 Carrier Delay Costs study; 8tree analysis



Dent Details Required



TechOps





Dent Details Required - Location



📥 DELTA

TechOps *Stree*

(1) The following dent evaluation criteria are applicable for all AIRBUS aircraft external aluminum alloy structures including GLARE except for the:

- wing primary structure,
- slats,
- spoilers,
- A320 wing leading edge skins between track 5 and 6 and between track 7 and 8 inter rib,
- static ports, pitot probes, total air temperature probes and angle of attack sensors and areas within 150 mm (5.91 in.) around these sensors,
- nacelle inlet cowl-lip skins.



Dent Details Required - Parameters

(1) Maximum depth D does not exceed 0.1 mm (0.004 in.): D < 0.1 mm (0.004 in.). The following requirements shall be fulfilled:

TechOps

TechOps Stree

- skin thickness is below or equal to 4 mm (0.16 in.),
- the contour of the dent is smooth,
- ratio A/D is equal to or greater than 25 (minimum size of dent),
- the skin is free of cracks, confirmed by detailed visual inspection,
- No debonding of bonded stringers,
- no abrasion of unclad skin,



What the hail happened?



- 1. Delta A319 was in line for take off in OMA when it encountered an extreme hail event.
- 2. Initial inspection revealed severe damage to fuselage, with over 1000 dents noted.
- 3. Secondary structure, along with replaceable structure, sustained severe damage.
- 4. Aircraft required significant effort even for a ferry flight to a nearby mtc base for work.
- 5. Aircraft out of service for 60 days due to hail event. Data collection and reiterations, remeasurement were the majority of this time.





Delta's case study (Before dentCHECK) TechOps







Manual measurements & recording

TechOps

PRI 567988-14

Revision 0

Page 10of 12

DENT	PROXIMITY TO FRAME (in) Note: CIRCLE ONE OF "FWD" OR "AFT" FOR EACH DENT				PROXIMITY TO STRINGER (in) Note: CIRCLE ONE OF "ABOVE" OR "BELOW" FOR EACH DENT Above/ Below					DEPTH	1	w	A for (ADL)	В	D≤ 10%A Ok?	A (Negligib le)	A/D (Negligib le)	
D94	4.9131	in	FWD	FR	20	1. 884"	in	Bellow	STGR	12	0.003"	0.963"	0.393 "		2.502"	OK	0.290	
D95	5.408	in	AFT	FR	18	1:428"		Above		36		0.736"	0.651"	1	1.784"	OK	0.324	4
D96	9.4454	in	FWD	FR	20	1.263"	in	Bellow	STGR	26	0.005"	0.596"	0.567"	1.563"		ok		
D97	9.98811	in	AFT	FR	15	1.106"	in	Abow	STGR (42	0.00.3 "	0.790 "	0.642"]	1.204"	OK	0.4/5"	
D98	8.3914	in	Swp	FR	20	0.274"	in	Bellow	STGR	31	0.009 4	0.769 "	0.5774		0.8614	OK	0.251 #	
D99	4.429 1	in	Swn	FR	20	2.065"	in	Above	STGR	42	0.00 3"	0.723"	0.525*		2.6924	OK	0.299"	
D100	7.9734	in	Fun	FR	20	1.937"	in	Above	STGR	SL	0.002"	0.924"	0.599"		2.517"	op	0.412"	
D101	3.736	in	Fup	FR	21	0.770 "	in	Bellow	STGR .	ZR	0.007"	0.928"	0.866"	1.199"	1.360"	OK		
D102	0.519"	in	Fup	FR	21	0.418"	in	Above		GR	0-007"	0. 9224	0.923 "	0. 063	1.131*	OK		
D103	2.3764	in	AFT	FR	20	0.429"	in	Above		SR	0-003 4	0.730"	0.666"		1.075"	OK	0.96"	
D104	4.149"	in	AFT	FR	20	2.122 4	in	Abou		SR			0. 893	2.741"	2.7534	OK	0. 116	
D105	1.484 "	in	FWD	FR	21	2.021 "	in	Above		5R	0.0064	1.844"	1.513"	2082	2.087"	ok		
D106	4.077"	in	AFT	FR	20	0.565"	in	About	STGR I	GR		0.790"	0-086 "		1.138"	ok	0.372"	
D107	2.342 "	in	FUD	FR	21	0. 8034	in	Bellow		SR	1		0.324"	1.119 9	1.4.53"	OK	CIJAL	
D108	3.435"	in	AFT	FR	20	0.078	in	Bellow		SR		0.721	0.529"		1-168"	oK	0.372*	
D109	3.856"	in	AFT	FR	20	2.335	in	Above	STGR 5	5R	0.005	0.681 "	0.624"	2.663	2.925	OK	0.719	
D110	2.325 "	in	FWD	FR	21	0.226	in	Bellow		UR	0.009 "				0.8164	OK		
D111	2.467"	in	Fup	FR	21	2.467"	in	Obove			0.003 "-	0.861"	0-659"		3.002"	ok	0.451"	
D112	0.899 4	in	fup	FR	21	0,534"	in	Above		SR	0.0084		1. 433 4	1.329"	1.434"	OK	0-(3(
D113	1.071"	in	AFT	FR	20	0.6139	in	Shore				And and and a state of the stat	0.425"		1.471 "	OK		
D114	.359 4	in	AFT	FR	20	0.115"	in	Bellow		3R	0-0034		0. 432"		0.2054	the second se	0.351"	
D115	1.5014	in	A.FT	FR	20	0-124"		Above		YR	0.0054		and the second in the second second	0.4454	0.714*	oK	V. J.S.	
D116	2.2454	in	AFT	FR	20	2.387	in	Above		YR.	0.002		0.562"		2.+35"	oK	0.377	

▷ ▷ ▷ Preliminary Repair Instructions < < < Fuselage Skin - Panel 4C - FR12/FR24 & LH RH upper STGR

10 Note: These instructions are considered preliminary only. Specific authorization via an ER/A must be provided prior to final sign-off of the repair and release of the aircraft for service. Contact Engineering to determine the status of the ER/A distribution.

Line Maintenance / Delta North

The PRI can not be stored and maintained with the log sheets or non routine cards. Therefore, all required signoffs for individual steps completed per the PRI must be made directly in the logbook or on the non routine cards. The PRI will not be part of the permanent record, and the copy may be discarded upon receipt of the ER/A and completion of the final signoff.



Delta's case study (Before dentCHECK) TechOps

- 1. Delta's typical experience with dent mapping and reporting before dentCHECK
 - A dent would be reported "out of limits". Typically, a very minimum amount of information would be submitted (such as depth and a width). Submittal information varies by individual AMT and experience level.
 - Liaison Engineering would then typically have to request additional information, with pictures (adjacent structure), and a rubbing of the area to help identify adjacent fasteners and from which we can get measurements. Dimensional information is manually written on the rubbing.
 - Inaccurate measurements would require an additional iteration to get the required information. More back and forth.
 - Large areas of primary structure add complexity and time to the data gathering stage for engineering review.





Current tools clunky, inefficient

TechOps





Delta's search for a better methodolog TechOps

- Several scanner technologies were initially evaluated, both optical and laser scanner systems.
- 8tree's dentCHECK[™] was selected from overall impact to the mtc operation and reduction in total touch time.
- AMT's could quickly use the system, providing information quickly, simply, and consistently.
- Anything outside of published SRM limitations could be submitted quickly and efficient to Liaison engineering for additional evaluation.
- Customized reports can be generated from the AMT scan data by Liaison engineering.
- The 'back and forth' information gathering can be eliminated with this system.
- Service letter publication from Boeing joint effort between Delta/8tree to gain acceptance from Boeing
 - Other airlines enlisted for support



OEM efforts – Guidance and approvals TechOps



- 3D SCANNER SYSTEMS REQUIREMENTS FOR RECORDING PHYSICAL ATTRIBUTES OF DENTS AND BLENDS
- MODEL: 707, 717, 727, 737, 747, 757, 767, 777, 787, DC-8, DC-9, DC-10, MD-10, MD-11, MD-80, and MD-90 Series
- APPLICABILITY: All Airplanes



A320 ALL

NI/A

3D SCANNER

dentCHECK 8TR-DC-002

cabin floor composite panels.

Supplier Cage Code: 7E2T2

This tool is used to assess dent damage on metallic parts and

EFFECTIVITY

PART NUMBER

DESIGNATION

DESCRIPTION

TOOL STATUS:

NOTE:

REASON FOR CHANGE: dentCHECK is now validated to assess dent on cabin floor composite panels



How it works

- Point & click (1-button operation)
- Instant results



Image source: Delta Tech Ops Delta TechOps | September 19, 2018 | 15







How it works – Reporting dents TechOps

- Engineering team PCs loaded with Dent Reporting Tool (DRT) software to receive incoming dent-maps
- 2. Using DRT = Detailed reports in < 5min
 - Vertical/horizontal crosssections
 - Flexible grid sizes
 - Coordinate symbols
 - Distances to neighboring dents
 - Distance to adjacent frames/stringers
 - 3D and 2D photos for surrounding context
 - Dent severity profile information



TechOps *Stree*



Delta's case study (After dentCHECK) TechOps

- 1. At Heavy check, the aircraft had repetitive inspections from the event (approximately 6 years from incident).
- 2. The dentCHECK[™] system was utilized to improve the process of measuring each dent.
- 3. Total scan time of fuselage primary structure was approximately 10 days (as opposed to the original 60 days using the standard method), resulting in approximately 1800 dents being scanned, measured, and evaluated.
- 4. Additional dent locations found that were not included in the initial inspections.
- 5. These measurements were used by the OEM for continued evaluation of the area.
- To measure one dent with the tool it took less than 1 minute. Without the tool, just using caliper and depth gauge, it took approximately 4 minutes per dent.

Reduced Mapping time by 75%

Reduced reporting time by 90%



Delta's case study (After dentCHECK) TechOps









Fuselage Skin Panel D53480011204, View Looking Down,

NEW DENTS

OLD DENTS PER RDAS 70552107/177/2012





Additional benefits

- 1. More concise info; Less subjectivity
- 2. Visual with measurements
- 3. Digital; Wireless transfer to Engineering
- 4. Enables integration with other systems

Delta Future:

- 1. Tracking system
- 2. Engineering/OEM coordination
- 3. 3D Visual representation
- 4. Less risk to operation future delays (Line Mtc)
 - Augmented reality









Summary



- Massive time savings
- Easy to operate
- Archiving
- Enables Digital integration
- Worked with OEMs for coordination/approvals

